Union Catalog Committee
Meeting Notes – January 27, 2016

X	Jamie Walker[Chair]	CMU		Jennifer Hillebrandt	Gunnison
	Mary Walsh	ASU	X	Betsey Dick	MCPLD
X	Gail Owens	Basalt	X	Shelly Fratzke	SD#51
X	Emily Veenstra-Ott	Bud Werner		Judy Poe & Wendi Weinstein	Pine River Library
X	Gail Nottingham & Charlene Walker	BVPL	X	Carol McArdell	PCL
X	Karen Neville	CCU		Debbie Barnes & Maggie Hindley	Rampart LD
	Becky Kramer	CMC Glenwood		Becky Nelson & Kristin Trombo	Salida
	Chris Bockstiegel	CMC Leadville	X	Sarah Nordholm	Summit Co
	Jonathan Beam	CMC Steamboat	X	Jo Norris	VPL
X	Diane Levin & Jolanda Durbin	EVLD	X	Nicole Becwar	WSCU
X	Selene Gardner	EPL	X	Amy Sieving	WPL
X	Amy Shipley	Garfield Co	X	Nancy Lindwedel	Marmot
	Sarah Greenberg	Grand Co	X	Lloyd Chittenden	Marmot

NEW BUSINESS:

907 and 917 fields in MARC records. Can these be deleted? (Lloyd)
> If deleted, will this affect PIKA? These fields need to be in incoming records, but are not needed in target records. So there may not be any reason to keep them in our MARC records. Do any of our export tables take these numbers from the MARC fields or do they take them from the III record? Amy uses "Output order records". Emily – some libraries are using 907 and 917 fields. ***Lloyd** to research who's using 907 & 917 and reasons they are using it. Amy suggested Lloyd check with Andy at TLW, Mark N., and with LTI (is 948-LTI field needed?)

Authority Control update (Lloyd)
> Name and Subject authority records have been loaded into the system. Next step is get an update file from LTI of changes since we sent records in the summer. Lloyd is checking and will load.

> How can we get handle on what records should and should not be going to LTI? We don't want to pay for authority control unnecessarily. Records not included for authority work are temporary records, inter-library loan records, reserves, equipment, 'on order' records. Possibly Cat Date/no Cat Date? People don't like using the Cat Date for this, but 500 notes will appear in the public catalog and be

confusing. ***Lloyd** will find an empty 9xx field with no current purpose to which "No A.C." can be added.

What about how to identify records that have been updated and need to be sent to LTI again? Possibly "Needs new authority" in same 9xx field as "No A.C." ***Lloyd** to check with LTI. Shelly – if records are overlaid, will that change Cat Date? If Cat Date changes, the updated record will go to LTI again. Do we want it to? Lloyd checked and "F31" no change to Cat Date [Lloyd: this was incorrect, F31 protects SPEC'L USE not Cat Date]. We still need to consider if we want Cat Date protected or not.

Bib utility numbers—possibly create subcommittee (Lloyd)
> Some load tables strip leading zeros from OCLC numbers and some don't. Some records have prefixes, i.e. MWT, DLC. Some records don't have prefixes and should. Melissa Powell checked with libraries about this. ***Lloyd** to contact Melissa.

> The issues with Bib Utility numbers are closely related to the problems of duplicates, so a new Duplicate Committee has been created to consider duplicates and bib utility number issues. Duplicate Committee meetings will be set up for second Wednesday of the month, first meeting being Wed., 2/10/16, 9am MT. ***Lloyd** will send email to members of this committee.
> - Emily Veenstra-Ott
> - Jamie Walker
> - Karen Neville
> - Amy Shipley
> - Shelley Fratzke
> - Lloyd Chittenden
> - Nancy Lindwedel

Consider item local notes for public catalog (Lloyd)
> We store notes about local items in 590 fields because notes in item records do not appear in Pika. These display in everyone's OPACs even if they are not relevant to other libraries. Would people prefer to have local notes in item record notes? Many people need local notes to be searchable whether they are in bib records or item records. Could notes in item records be search able in Pika? What about in Classic? Would they appear in Classic? Would they be searchable? ***Lloyd** to contact Mark N. to explore these questions. UCC members to send email to Lloyd on how they use 590 field.

Process for adding item records with orders (Brandon)
> ASC meeting – Acquisitions – adding in order records, order records holdable? Add specific I type to all records on hold which would make record holdable, not checkout. Emily – concerned about using specific I type regarding libraries that check out only to their patrons (VPL, etc). ***Brandon** would send email to libraries who manually load, need to use specific new, unique I type. Amy – uses

a workaround. Brandon – alternative method, the first library uses a dummy record using a general location, i.e. Marmot, etc. Then, for consistency, everyone must use this method. Brandon suggested to start with non-Acquisition libraries who use manual load. May be necessary to change the template. Icode2-n can be used on dummy record, after which, dummy record can be deleted. Brandon would engage Tammy for procedure to manually create dummy records.

MeSH headings (Lloyd)
>   FYI, The National Library of Medicine is changing the way they do subheadings. They are eliminating pre-coordinated subject strings. They will simply have separate subject headings for each concept that would have previously been in a subheading, such as dates, geographic areas, genres, and secondary related subjects. LTI will switch our MeSH headings in the upcoming update that will be loaded soon.

Maintenance of Data Exchange, Create List, Statistics (Lloyd)
>   Previously we had decided that we would break up a large review file to create a bunch of small review files, since most of our lists are small. ***Brandon** to break out a large bucket and make smaller buckets. **Lloyd** – can send emails to advise Create List cleanup when things get crowded in the future.

Two different field group tags ("u" and "q") both labeled "other title" (Lloyd)-
>   This is confusing when you can't tell which is which because they have the same label. Which MARC tags are each supposed to be associated with? **Lloyd** will figure that out and let everyone know before we decide what should be done.

Bib-Frame discussion – Mark N.
>   This is set as high priority for developing with Pika. **Mark** will present steps to be taken in next meeting.

OLD BUSINESS:
N/A

OTHER:
N/A

NEXT MEETING:
>   February 24, 2016
>   Bib-Frame discussion – Mark N.